DLA PIPER US LLP SAN DIEGO WEST\21489265.1 41043501-001050 -1-

CASE NO.

NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION TO UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	Pase 3.00-cv-01307-320-1 OK
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
1	1. On or about July 31, 2008, Plaintiff Weida Haynes ("Plaintiff") filed a
2	Complaint For 1) Employment Discrimination-Disability; 2) Employment
3	Discrimination-Failure to Accommodate; 3) Intentional Infliction of Emotional
4	Distress; and 4) Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress in the Superior Court of
5	the State of California for the County of San Diego (the "Complaint") entitled,
6	"Weida Haynes v. United Air Lines, and Does 1 - 20, Inclusive," designated as
7	Case No. 37-2008-00088798-CU-OE-CTL (the "State Court Action").
8	2. United first received notice of the State Court Action when it was
9	served with Plaintiff's Complaint on August 1, 2008.
10	3. The following pleadings constitute all the process, pleadings, and
11	orders in this action to date of which Defendant is aware:
12	(a) A copy of the Complaint is attached as Exhibit A.
13	(b) A copy of the Summons, Civil Case Cover Sheet, Notice of
14	Case Assignment, Notice to Litigants/ADR Information Package, and Stipulation to
15	Alternative Dispute Resolution Process, which were also served on Defendant on
16	August 1, 2008, are attached as Exhibit B.
17	(c) A copy of Defendant's Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint is
18	attached hereto as Exhibit C.

- omplaint is
- This Notice of Removal is timely, because it is being filed within 4. 30 days of United's receipt of the Complaint on August 1, 2008, and within one (1) year of commencement of this action.
- This Court is the United States District Court for the district within 5. which the State Court Action is pending.
- This action is a civil action of which this Court has original 6. jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. section 1331, and which may be removed to this Court by Defendant under 28 U.S.C. section 1441(b) in that it alleges claims that arise under the federal Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. § 12101, et seq.) (Ex. A, ¶¶ 11, 12, and 15). See Weaver v. Florida Power & Light Co., 172 F.3d
- WEST\21489265.1

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

41043501-001050

5

6

9

22

28

SAN DIEGO

based on the federal Americans with Disabilities Act, the lawsuit raised a federal
question and removal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1441 was therefore proper").
Further, this Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the remaining state causes of
action under 28 U.S.C. section 1367(a).

771, 773, fn. 1 (11th Cir. 1999) (holding that "because [plaintiffs] complaint was

- 7. Plaintiff's Complaint does not specifically request an amount for damages. However, she does assert claims for lost wages, emotional distress, and punitive damages. "Where both actual and punitive damages are recoverable under a complaint each must be considered to the extent claimed in determining jurisdictional amount." Bell v. Preferred Life Assur. Soc. of Montgomery, Ala., 320 U.S. 238, 240 (1943); see also, Simmons v. PCR Technology, 209 F. Supp. 2d 1029, 1033 (N.D. Cal. 2002) (holding that "the amount in controversy may include punitive damages when they are recoverable as a matter of law").
- 8. Plaintiff has alleged two causes of action under the California Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA") and Americans with Disabilities Act for disability discrimination and failure to accommodate. She has also asserted causes of action for intentional infliction of emotional distress and negligent infliction of emotional distress, based upon the same actions that underlie her FEHA and ADA claims. In all these actions, Plaintiff requests the recovery of punitive damages. (Ex. A, ¶¶ 21, 28, 36 and 43.) Because punitive damages are available under the FEHA, this Court may consider punitive damages when determining the amount in controversy. Simmons, 209 F. Supp. 2d at 1033. Moreover, as this Court recognized in Simmons, jury verdicts from other similar cases in California "amply demonstrate the potential for large punitive damage awards in employment discrimination cases." Id. (referencing other California employment discrimination cases, where the jury entered verdicts of \$60,000, \$121,000,000, and \$40,000,000 in punitive damages). In this case, should Plaintiff prevail in her FEHA and ADA claims and recover punitive damages, jury verdicts from other similar lawsuits

8

13

11

26

28

SAN DIEGO

Document 1	Filed 08/2 <u>9/2</u> 008	Page 4 of 3
------------	---------------------------	-------------

demonstrate that the punitive damages award would likely exceed \$75,000. Thus, the \$75,000 jurisdictional limit will be easily met, when considering the potential punitive damages award, along with Plaintiff's indication she is seeking recovery of \$150,000 in damages.

- 9. It is also facially apparent that plaintiff's FEHA, ADA, and common law tort claims exceed \$75,000, based on Plaintiff's claim for damages relating to her loss of earnings (Ex. A, ¶¶ 18, 26, and 35), mental and physical damages (Ex. A, \P 19, 27, 33, and 39), statutory attorneys' fees (Ex. A, \P 3 of prayer), and punitive damages (Ex. A, ¶¶ 21, 28, 36 and 43). See, Simmons, 209 F. Supp. 2d at 1035 (denying plaintiff's motion for remand, holding that it was facially apparent that the employment discrimination claims contained in plaintiff's complaint, which sought compensatory damages related to lost pay and mental distress, along with punitive damages and attorneys' fees, exceeded the \$75,000 jurisdictional limit).
- 10. United is presently and was at the time of the commencement of this suit, a citizen of the state of Delaware as provided in 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c), because it was and is a corporation duly organized and validly existing under and pursuant to the laws of the state of Delaware.
- United's principal place of business is in the state of Illinois because 11. United's executive, operational and administrative offices are located in Elk Grove Township and Chicago, Illinois, and it employs the largest number of employees in Illinois. Breitman v. May Co California, 37 F.3d 562, 564 (9th Cir. 1994). See, Tosco Corp. v. Communities for a Better Environment, 236 F.3d 495, 500 (9th Cir. 2001) (substantial predominance of business found in California, where company had significantly more employees, manufacturing, retail locations and sales in California than in any other state.)
- Other courts have previously determined that United's principal place 12. of business is Illinois. See, United Air Lines v. Mesa Air Lines, 8 F.Supp.2d 796, 798 (N.D. III. 1998); United Independent Flight Officers, Inc. v. United Air Lines,

WEST\21489265.1 41043501-001050

1	756 F.2d 1262, 1270 (7th Cir. 1985); United Independent Flight Officers, Inc. v.			
2	United Air Lines, 1983 WL 2082 (N.D. III. 1983); but see Ghaderi v. United			
3	Airlines, Inc., 136 F.Supp.2d 1041 (N.D. Cal. 2001); Burgos v. United Airlines,			
4	Inc., 2002 WL 102607 (N.D. Cal. 2002).			
5	13. Therefore, diversity jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)			
6	as the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000 and diversity of citizenship exists			
7	between the sole Defendant in this matter, United Air Lines, Inc. and Plaintiff, since			
8	Plaintiff is a citizen of California and United Air Lines, Inc. is incorporated in			
9	Delaware and has its principal place of business in Illinois.			
10	14. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), written notice of the filing of this			
11	Notice of Removal will be given promptly to Plaintiff and, together with a copy of			
12	the Notice of Removal, will be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court of the			
13	State of California, County of San Diego, in the State Court Action.			
14	WHEREFORE, United removes to this Court the above action now pending			
15	in the Superior Court of the State of California, County of San Diego, by timely			
16	filing this Notice of Removal.			
17	To 1 A 1 19 2000			
18	Dated: August <u>29</u> , 2008 DLA PIPER US LLP			
19	DLATII ER OS ELI			
20	By Dolf. Dr.			
21	JOHN E. FITZSIMMONS AMY E. BECKSTEAD			
22	Attorneys for Defendant			
23	UNITED AIR LINES, INC. (erroneously sued as UNITED AIR LINES)			
24				
25				
26				
27				

DLA PIPER US LLP SAN DIEGO

28

WEST\21489265.1 41043501-001050 CASE NO.

-5-

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Exhibit	Title	Page
A	Complaint	. 6
В	Summons, Civil Case Cover Sheet, Notice of Case Assignment, Notice to Litigants/ADR Information Package and Stipulation to Alternative Dispute Resolution Process	14
С	Answer to Plaintiff's Unverified Complaint	20

1 2 3	DOUGLAS E. GEYMAN (SBN: 15941 Law Office of Douglas E. Geyman 750 B Street, Suite 2635 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 232-3533	7) . ED Clark of the Superior Court			
4	Telephone, (019) 232-3333	JUL 3 1 2008			
5	Attorney for Plaintiff WEIDA HAYNES	By: L. McALISTER, Deputy			
6		•			
7					
8	IN THE SUPERIOR COUI	RT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	COUNTY OF SAN	DIEGO, CENTRAL DIVISION			
10	WEIDA HAYNES, an individual	CASE NO.:37-2008-00088798-CU-OE-CTL			
11	Plaintiff,	Case Classification: General Civil			
12	v. (COMPLAINT FOR:			
13	UNITED AIR LINES, a Delaware) corporation; and DOES 1 - 20,	1) Employment Discrimination - Disability;			
14	Inclusive,)	2) Employment Discrimination - Failure to Accommodate;			
15 16	Defendants.)))	3) Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress; and			
17	}	 Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress. 			
18)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED			
19 20	COMICS MOW Blaissift WILLD A.	LIA VALUE (WILLA VALUET) on William (FET) and for			
21		HAYNES ("HAYNES" or "Plaintiff"), and for			
22	causes of actions against Defendants, and each of them, alleges as follows:				
23	I,				
24	ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION				
25	1. Plaintiff WEIDA HAYNES is, and at all times herein mentioned was, an individual residing in the County of San Diego.				
26	, , ,				
27	2. Defendant UNITED AIR LINES ("UNITED" or "Defendant") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a Delaware corporation, doing business in the County of San				
8		orporation, doing ourness in the County or San			
۰۰.	Diego.				
	COMPLA	INT FOR DAMAGES			
	1				

3.	Plaintiff is unaware of the true names and capacities of the defendants
designated as D	OOES 1 through 20, inclusive, and therefore sucs such defendants by their
fictitious names	s. Plaintiff will seek leave of this Court, if required, to amend this complain
to allege their tr	rue names and capacities when the same has been ascertained. Plaintiff is
informed and be	elieves, and thereon alleges, that each and every defendant designated herein
as a DOE is in s	some manner liable or responsible for the acts, occurrences and omissions
hereinafter set fe	orth, and the damages proximately caused thereby.

- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that in acting, or failing to act, as hereinafter set forth, each and every defendant was acting as the agent, servant, employee, principal, master and employer of each remaining co-defendant, within the course and scope of such agency, servitude and employment, and with the express or implied consent, knowledge and ratification of each such remaining co-defendant.
- 5. On or about July 9, 2007, Plaintiff became employed with UNITED as a Customer Service Representative ("CSR"). Immediately upon employment, Plaintiff participated in and satisfactorily completed a five-week training period.
- 6. On or about November 4, 2007, Plaintiff suffered a back injury which required that she take time off from work. Plaintiff was off work due to her back injury November 19 26, 2007, and again December 1 6, 2007.
- 7. Plaintiff returned to work on or about December 7, 2007 ready and capable to resume her job duties with minimal accommodations.
- 8. On or about December 9, 2007, Barbara Fitzsimmons ("Fitzsimmons"), Plaintiff's supervisor, advised Plaintiff that her employment with UNITED would be terminated effective the next day, December 10, 2007. The reason provided to Plaintiff by Fitzsimmons for the termination was that Plaintiff had not successfully completed the probationary period.
- 9. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that the reason for termination of her employment provided by UNITED was pretext.

10	Plaintiff alleges that the real reason that UNITED terminated her employmen
was to be relie	ved of its legal duty to accommodate her disability.

- In terminating Plaintiff's employment, UNITED discriminated against 11. Plaintiff based on her disability in violation of federal and state statutes prohibiting such discrimination.
- 12. Plaintiff has exhausted her administrative requirements by timely filing charges against Defendant with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") pursuant to the American with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), as codified at 42 USC § 12101, et seq., and pursuant to the Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA") as codified at Cal. Gov. Code § 12940, et seq. The EEOC has issued its "Right To Sue Letter" to Plaintiff, who timely files this action. Plaintiff, therefore, respectfully requests relief and judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as set forth below.

11.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Employment Discrimination - Disability

- 13. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 12, above, as though fully set forth herein.
- 14. In or about November 2007. Plaintiff suffered a back injury which required her to take time off from work. Shortly after suffering the back injury, UNITED terminated Plaintiff employment.
- 15. UNITED's termination of Plaintiff's employment due to the disability she suffered as a result of the back injury constitutes employment discrimination in violation of the ADA and FEHA statutes, as set forth herein.
- 16. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that her disability played a role in Defendants', and each of their, willingness to discriminate against her.
- 17. Plaintiff's claim of employment discrimination based on disability is supported by the conduct of Defendants, and each of them, as described herein. ////

16 17

18

20

22

23

24

25 26

27 28

- As a direct, foreseeable and proximate result of the aforementioned conduct by Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, losses in earnings, earning capacity and other benefits of employment, all in an amount yet to be ascertained. Plaintiff will, therefore, seek leave of court to amend this Complaint to allege the exact amount of such damages when the same becomes known to her, or to conform to proof at trial.
- 19. As a proximate result of Defendant's, and each of their, willful, knowing and intentional discrimination, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, humiliation, emotional distress, and mental and physical pain and anguish, all to her damage in an amount according to proof at trial.
- 20. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that the actions of Defendants, and each of them, as hereinabove alleged, were willful, wanton, malicious and oppressive, and done with knowledge that their conduct was unlawful. Notwithstanding such knowledge, Defendants, and each of them, despicably subjected Plaintiff to cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights as hereinabove alleged. Plaintiff is, therefore, entitled to punitive and exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to discourage such future conduct by Defendants, and each of them, and at the Court's discretion.
- 21. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendant engaged in other actionable conduct not enumerated in this Complaint. Plaintiff will therefore, seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to allege the specific acts when the same becomes known to her, or to conform to proof thereof at trial.

III.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Employment Discrimination - Failure to Accommodate

- 22. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 21 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 23. At all times herein alleged, Plaintiff was qualified to perform her work duties with reasonable accommodations.

		4	
24.	UNITED	failed to adopt available measures that were	necessary to
accommodate	Plaintiff's	disability, as alleged herein.	

- 25. Plaintiff's claims of failure to accommodate are supported by Defendants', and each of their, conduct as described herein.
- 26. As a direct, foreseeable and proximate result of the aforementioned conduct by Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, losses in earnings, earning capacity and other benefits of employment, all in an amount yet to be ascertained. Plaintiff will, therefore, seek leave of court to amend this Complaint to allege the exact amount of such damages when the same becomes known to hER, or to conform to proof at trial.
- 27. As a proximate result of Defendant's, and each of their, willful, knowing and intentional discrimination, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, humiliation, emotional distress, and mental and physical pain and anguish, all to her damage, in an amount according to proof at trial.
- 28. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that the actions of Defendants, and each of them, as hereinabove alleged, were willful, wanton, malicious and oppressive, and done with knowledge that their conduct was unlawful. Notwithstanding such knowledge, Defendants, and each of them, despicably subjected Plaintiff to cruel and unjust hardship in conscious disregard of Plaintiff's rights as hereinabove alleged. Plaintiff is, therefore, entitled to punitive and exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to discourage such future conduct by Defendants, and each of them, and at the Court's discretion.
- 29. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereupon alleges, that Defendant engaged in other actionable conduct not enumerated in this Complaint. Plaintiff will therefore, seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint to allege the specific acts when the same becomes known to her, or to conform to proof thereof at trial.

////

27 | ////

28 ////

5

6

7

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18 19

2021

2223

24

25

2627

28

· IV.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

- 30. Plaintiff incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 29 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 31. The conduct of Defendants, and each of them, as set forth herein, was extreme and outrageous to a degree as to be outside the bounds of decency in a civilized society.
- 32. The conduct of Defendants, and each of them, was done in reckless disregard and with the intention to cause emotional distress in Plaintiff.
- 33. As a result of Defendants', and each of their, extreme and outrageous conduct, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer severe emotional distress and mental anguish.
- 34. The severe emotional distress and mental anguish that Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, was actually and proximately caused by Defendants', and each of their, extreme and outrageous conduct as set forth herein.
- 35. As a direct and proximate cause of Defendants', and each of their, actions, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, a loss of earnings and other employment benefits and job opportunities. Plaintiff is thereby entitled to general and special damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 36. The acts of Defendants, and each of them, were done with malice, fraud and oppression, and with conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights, and with the intent to injure Plaintiff. Defendants', and each of their, conduct was extreme and outrageous to such a degree as to entitle Plaintiff to punitive and exemplary damages in an amount sufficient to discourage such future actions of Defendants and others.

V.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress

37. Plaintiff incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 37 of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

- 38. The conduct of Defendants, and each of them, as set forth herein, was extreme and outrageous to a degree as to be outside the bounds of decency in a civilized society.
- 39. The conduct of Defendants, and each of them, was done in negligent and reckless disregard of the emotional distress caused in Plaintiff.
- 40. As a result of Defendants', and each of their, extreme and outrageous conduct, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer severe emotional distress and mental anguish.
- 41. The severe emotional distress and mental anguish that Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, was actually and proximately caused by Defendants', and each of their, extreme and outrageous conduct as set forth herein.
- 42. The acts of Defendants, and each of them, were done with malice, fraud and oppression, and with reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights.
- 43. Defendants', and each of their, wrongful actions taken against Plaintiff were despicable, oppressive, malicious, deliberate, egregious, and inexcusable to a degree such that Plaintiff is entitled to an award of punitive damages in an amount sufficient to discourage future actions by Defendants and others.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests relief and judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. For compensatory, special and general damages according to proof at trial;
- For punitive damages in an amount sufficient to discourage such future discriminatory actions by Defendants and others, and in the Court's discretion;
- 3. For attorneys fees and costs, according to proof at trial; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

July ___, 2008 THE LAW OFFICE OF DOUGLAS E. GEYMAN

By:
Douglas E. Geyman
Attorney for Plaintiff WEIDA HAYNES

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

38.	The conduct of Defendants, and each of them, as set forth herein, was extreme
	· ·
and outrageous	s to a degree as to be outside the bounds of decency in a civilized society.

- 39. The conduct of Defendants, and each of them, was done in negligent and reckless disregard of the emotional distress caused in Plaintiff.
- 40. As a result of Defendants', and each of their, extreme and outrageous conduct, Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer severe emotional distress and mental anguish.
- 41. The severe emotional distress and mental anguish that Plaintiff has suffered, and continues to suffer, was actually and proximately caused by Defendants', and each of their, extreme and outrageous conduct as set forth herein.
- 42. The acts of Defendants, and each of them, were done with malice, fraud and oppression, and with reckless disregard for Plaintiff's rights.
- 43. Defendants', and each of their, wrongful actions taken against Plaintiff were despicable, oppressive, malicious, deliberate, egregious, and inexcusable to a degree such that Plaintiff is entitled to an award of punitive damages in an amount sufficient to discourage future actions by Defendants and others.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests relief and judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. For compensatory, special and general damages according to proof at trial;
- For punitive damages in an amount sufficient to discourage such future discriminatory actions by Defendants and others, and in the Court's discretion;
- 3. For attorneys fees and costs, according to proof at trial; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

July <u>> 1</u>, 2008

THE LAW OFFICE OF DOUGLAS E. GEYMAN

By:

Douglas E. Geyman

Attorney for Plaintiff WEIDA HAYNES

27

28

HDQLD

AUG 04 2008

Pulcario

200861501432

SUM-100 FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT:

(AVISO AL DEMANDADO): .
UNITED AIRLINES, a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1-

20, Inclusive

E

Clerk of the Superior Court

JUL 3 1 2008

By. L. MOALISTER, Deputy

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTA DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): WIEDA HAYNES, an individual

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee walver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar pera su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta

su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia. Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifomia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California,

The name and address of the court is:	contacto con la corte o el colegio	de aboardos locales	
(El nombre y dirección de la corte es): SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA 330 West Broadway		CASE NUMBER: 37-2008-0008879 (Número do! Caso):	8-CU-OE-CTL
San Diego, CA 92101 Central Division The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiffs a (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abog Douglas E. Geyman, Esq. (SBN 15941 LAW OFFICE OF DOUGLAS E. GEYMAN 750 B Street, Suite 2635 San Diego, CA 92101	ado del delhandante, o del demi	orney, is: andante que no tiene abogado 9) 232-3533 (619) 2	o, es): !32-3593
(Fecha) JUL 3 1 2008	Clerk, by (Secretario)	1. MCALISTER	Deputy
2. as an individual of a street person such	e of Summons (farm POS-010).) Proof of Service of Summons, (file N SERVED: You are served defendant. ed under the fictitious name of (see the served)	POS-010)).	(Adjunto)
3. on behalf of (sperunder: CCP 416	cify): United Air 10 (corporation) 20 (defunct corporation)	Lives, a Delaw CCP 416.60 (minor)	·

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use Judicial Council of California SUM-100 [Rev. January 1, 2004]

SUMMONS

other (specify): by personal delivery on (date):

CCP 416.40 (association or partnership)



Code of Civil Procedure §§ 412.20, 465

CCP 416.70 (conservatee)

CCP 416.90 (authorized person)

	•	
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State 8	er number, and address).	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Douglas E. Geyman, Esq. (SBN 159417)	
LAW OFFICE OF DOUGLAS E. G	EYMAN	
750 B Street, Suite 2635 San Diego, CA 92101	•	
Jan. 51090, C. 52101	•	FILED
TELEPHONE NO.: (619) 232-3533	FAX NO.: (619) 232-3593	- D
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiff		Clerk of the Superior Court
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF S		JUL 3 1 2008
street address: 330 West Broadwa	ıy	0 1 2000
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92	2101	Bel Mediated Dans
BRANCH NAME: Central Division	<u> </u>	By: L. Moalister, Deputy
CASE NAME: HAYNES V UNITED A	IRLINES	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET	Complex Case Designation	CASE NUMBER:
X Unlimited Limited	Counter Joinder	37-2008-00088798-CU-OE-CTL
(Amount (Amount demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defende	ant JUDGE:
demanded demanded is exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402)	DEPT:
Items 1-6 be	elow must be completed (see instruction	
1. Check one box below for the case type that	best describes this case:	
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation .
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
Uninsured motorist (46) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/Property	Rule 3.740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Asbestos (04)	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tort (40)
Product liability (24)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Medical malpractice (45)	Real Property Eminent domain/Inverse	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Other PI/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tor/unfair business practice (07)	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
intellectual property (19)	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Petition
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	the second control of
X_ Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
2. This case is is is not comple	ex under rule 3.400 of the California Rule	s of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial manage a. Large number of separately represe	ement:	
b. Extensive motion practice raising di		
issues that will be time-consuming the	The state of the s	th related actions pending in one or more courts s, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of documentary		ljudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply): a.	X monetary b. X nonmonetary de	claratory or injunctive relief c. punitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify):		punting
	action suit.	
6. If there are any known related cases, file and		ON OUT I
Date: July 31, 2008	serve a notice of related case. (You ma	iy use rom CM-U15.)
n	1594171	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)		ATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
Discipliff and St. Williams	NOTICE	
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the firs under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Well	I paper filed in the action or proceeding	(except small claims cases or cases filed
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Wein sanctions.		or Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
· File this cover sheet in addition to any cover s	sheet required by local court rule.	1
 If this case is complex under rule 3,400 et sec 	a. of the California Rules of Court, you m	nust serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
 other parties to the action or proceeding. Unless this is a collections case under rule 3. 		ľ
	THE COVER SHEET	Will be used for statistical purposes only. Page 1 of 2
orm Adopted for Mandatory Use		

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO STREET ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway	
MAILING ADDRESS 330 West Broadway	
CITY AND ZIP CODE: San Diego. CA 92101 BRANCH NAME: Central TELEPHONE NUMBER (619) 450-7161	
PLAINTIFF(S) / PETITIONER(S) Weida Haynes	
DEFENDANT(S) / RESPONDENT(S): United Air Lines	
HAYNES VS. UNITED AIR LINES	
NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT	CASE NUMBER: 37-2008-00088798-CU-OE-CTL

Judge: John S. Meyer

Department: C-61

COMPLAINT/PETITION FILED: 07/31/2008

CASES ASSIGNED TO THE PROBATE DIVISION ARE NOT REQUIRED TO COMPLY WITH THE CIVIL REQUIREMENTS LISTED BELOW

IT IS THE DUTY OF EACH PLAINTIFF (AND CROSS-COMPLAINANT) TO SERVE A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH THE COMPLAINT (AND CROSS-COMPLAINT).

ALL COUNSEL WILL BE EXPECTED TO BE FAMILIAR WITH SUPERIOR COURT RULES WHICH HAVE BEEN PUBLISHED AS DIVISION II, AND WILL BE STRICTLY ENFORCED.

- TIME STANDARDS: The following timeframes apply to general civil cases and must be adhered to unless you have requested and been granted an extension of time. General civil consists of all cases except: Small claims appeals, petitions, and unlawful detainers.
- COMPLAINTS: Complaints must be served on all named defendants, and a CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE:(SDSC CIV-345) filed within 60 days of filing. This is a mandatory document and may not be substituted by the filing of any other document.
- DEFENDANT'S APPEARANCE: Defendant must generally appear within 30 days of service of the complaints (Plaintiff may stipulate to no more than a 15 day extension which must be in writing and filed with the Court.).
- DEFAULT: If the defendant has not generally appeared and no extension has been granted, the plaintiff must request default within 45 days of the filing of the Certificate of Service.

THE COURT ENCOURAGES YOU TO CONSIDER UTILIZING VARIOUS ALTERNATIVES TO LITIGATION, INCLUDING MEDIATION AND ARBITRATION, PRIOR TO THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE, MEDIATION SERVICES ARE AVAILABLE UNDER THE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROGRAMS ACT AND OTHER PROVIDERS. SEE ADR INFORMATION PACKET AND STIPULATION.

YOU MAY ALSO BE ORDERED TO PARTICIPATE IN ARBITRATION PURSUANT TO CCP 1141.10 AT THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE. THE FEE FOR THESE SERVICES WILL BE PAID BY THE COURT. IF ALL PARTIES HAVE APPEARED IN THE CASE AND THE COURT ORDERS THE CASE TO ARBITRATION PURSUANT TO CCP 1141.10. THE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE WILL BE CANCELLED IF YOU FILE FORM SDSC CIV-359 PRIOR TO THAT HEARING

SDSC CIV-721 (Rev 11-06)

- - -

EXHIBIT B PAGE 16

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

CASE NUMBER: 37-2008-00088798-CU-OE-CTL

CASE TITLE: Haynes vs. United Air Lines

NOTICE TO LITIGANTS/ADR INFORMATION PACKAGE

You are required to serve a copy of this Notice to Litigants/ADR Information Package and a copy of the blank Stipulation to Alternative Dispute Resolution Process (received from the Civil Business Office at the time of filing) with a copy of the Summons and Complaint on all defendants in accordance with San Diego Superior Court Rule 2.1.5, Division II and CRC Rule 201.9.

ADR POLICY

It is the policy of the San Diego Superior Court to strongly support the use of Alternative Dispute Resolution ("ADR") in all general civil cases. The court has long recognized the value of early case management intervention and the use of alternative dispute resolution options for amenable and eligible cases. The use of ADR will be discussed at all Case Management Conferences. It is the court's expectation that litigants will utilize some form of ADR - i.e. the court's mediation or arbitration programs or other available private ADR options as a mechanism for case settlement before trial

ADR OPTIONS

1) CIVIL MEDIATION PROGRAM: The San Diego Superior Court Civil Mediation Program is designed to assist parties with the early resolution of their dispute. All general civil independent calendar cases, including construction defect, complex and eminent domain cases are eligible to participant in the program. Limited civil collection cases are not eligible at this time. San Diego Superior Court Local Rule 2.31, Division II addresses this program specifically. Mediation is a non-binding process in which a trained mediator 1) facilitates communication between disputants, and 2) assists parties in reaching a mutually acceptable resolution of all or part of their dispute. In this process, the mediator carefully explores not only the relevant evidence and law, but also the parties' underlying interests, needs and priorities. The mediator is not the decision-maker and will not resolve the dispute - the panies do. Mediation is a flexible, informal and confidential process that is less stressful than a formalized trial. It can also save time and money, allow for greater client participation and allow for more flexibility in creating a resolution.

Assignment to Mediation, Cost and Timelines: Parties may slipulate to mediation at any time up to the CMC or may. stipulate to mediation at the CMC. Mediator fees and expenses are split equally by the parties, unless otherwise agreed. Mediators on the court's approved panel have agreed to the court's payment schedule for county-referred mediation: \$150.00 per hour for each of the first two hours and their individual rate per hour thereafter. Parties may select any mediator, however, the count maintains a panel of court-approved mediators who have satisfied panel requirements and who must adhere to ethical standards. All court-approved mediator fees and other policies are listed in the Mediator Directory at each court location to assist parties with selection. Discovery: Parties do not need to conduct full discovery in the case before mediation is considered, utilized or referred. Attendance at Mediation: Trial counsel, parties and all persons with full authority to settle the case must personally attend the mediation, unless excused by the court for good. cause.

2) JUDICIAL ARBITRATION: Judicial Arbitration is a binding or non-binding process where an arbitrator applies the law to the facts of the case and issues an award. The goal of judicial arbitration is to provide parties with an adjudication that is earlier, faster, less formal and less expensive than trial. The arbitrator's award may either become the judgment in the case if all parties accept or if no trial de novo is requested within the required time. Either party may reject the award and request a trial de novo before the assigned judge if the arbitration was non-binding. If a trial de novo is requested, the trial will usually be scheduled within a year of the filing date.

Assignment to Arbitration, Cost and Timelines: Parties may stipulate to binding or non-binding judicial arbitration or the judge may order the matter to arbitration at the case management conference, held approximately 150 days after filing, if a case is valued at under \$50,000 and is "at issue". The court maintains a panel of approved judicial arbitrators who have practiced law for a minimum of five years and who have a certain amount of trial and/or arbitration experience. In addition, if parties select an arbitrator from the court's panel, the court will pay the arbitrator's fees. Superior Court

SDSC CIV-730 (Rev 12-08)

Page 1



- 3) SETTLEMENT CONFERENCES: The goal of a settlement conference is to assist the parties in their efforts to negotiate a settlement of all or part of the dispute. Parties may, at any time, request a settlement conference before the judge assigned to their case; request another assigned judge or a pro tem to act as settlement officer; or may privately utilize the services of a retired judge. The court may also order a case to a mandatory settlement conference prior to trial before the court's assigned Settlement Conference judge.
- 4) OTHER VOLUNTARY ADR: Parties may voluntarily stipulate to private ADR options outside the court system including private binding arbitration, private early neutral evaluation or private judging at any time by completing the "Stipulation to Alternative Dispute Resolution Process" which is included in this ADR package. Parties may also utilize. mediation services offered by programs that are partially funded by the county's Dispute Resolution Programs Act. These services are available at no cost or on a sliding scale based on need. For a list of approved DRPA providers, please contact the County's DRPA program office at (619) 238-2400.

ADDITIONAL ADR INFORMATION: For more information about the Civil Mediation Program, please contact the Civil Mediation Department at (619) 515-8908. For more information about the Judicial Arbitration Program, please contact the Arbitration Office at (619) 531-3818. For more information about Settlement Conferences, please contact the Independent Calendar department to which your case is assigned. Please note that staff can only discuss ADR options and cannot give legal advice.

SDSC CIV-730 (90v 12-06)

STREET ADDRESS. 330 West Broadway		FOR COURT USE ONLY
MAILING ADDRESS: 330 West Broadway CITY, STATE, a ZIP CODE: San Diego, CA 92101-3827	•	
BRANCH NAME Central		·
PLAINTIFF(S): Weida Haynes		
DEFENDANT(S): United Air,Lines		· ·
SHORT TITLE: HAYNES VS. UNITED AIR LINES		1
STIPULATION TO ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLU (CRC 3.221)	UTION PROCESS	CASE NUMBER: 37-2008-00088798-CU-OE-CTL
Judge: John S. Meyer	Departm	enl: C-61
The parties and their attorneys stipulate that the matter is at issue and the resolution process. Selection of any of these options will not delay any ca	e claims in this action shall ase management time-lines	be submitted to the following alternative dispute.
Court-Referred Mediation Program	_	ered Nonbinding Arbitration
Private Neutral Evaluation	Court-Ord	ered Binding Arbitration (Stipulated)
Private Mini-Trial	Private Re	eference to General Referee
Private Summary Jury Trial	Private Re	eference to Judge
Private Settlement Conference with Private Neutral	Private Bir	nding Arbitration
Other (specify):		
Il is also stipulated that the following shall serve as arbitrator, mediator or	other neutral: (Name)	
Alternate: (mediation & arbitration only)		
Alternate: (mediation & arbitration only)		
Alternate: (mediation & arbitration only)	Date:	
Alternate: (mediation & arbitration only)		
Alternate: (mediation & arbitration only) Date: Hame of Plaintiff	Date: Name of Defenda	
Alternate: (mediation & arbitration only)	Date:	
Alternate: (mediation & arbitration only) Date:	Date: Name of Defenda	nt ·
Alternate: (mediation & arbitration only) Date: Jame of Plaintiff Signature	Date: Name of Defenda Signature	nt
Alternate: (mediation & arbitration only) Date: Jame of Plaintiff ignature ame of Plaintiff's Attorney	Name of Defenda Signature Name of Defenda	nt's Allorney
Alternate: (mediation & arbitration only) Date: Name of Plaintiff Signature Iame of Plaintiff's Attorney Ignature Idea another sheet if additional names are necessary). It is the outy of the utes of Court, 3, 1385. Upon notification of the settlement the court will place	Name of Defenda Signature Name of Defenda Signature e parties to notify the count	nt's Attorney of any settlement pursuant to California
Alternate: (mediation & arbitration only) Date: Name of Plaintiff Signature	Name of Defenda Signature Name of Defenda Signature e parties to notify the count	nt's Attorney of any settlement pursuant to California
Alternate: (mediation & arbitration only) Date: D	Name of Defendar Signature Name of Defendar Signature e parties to notify the count to this matter on a 45-day of the papearing or actions by na	nt's Attorney of any settlement pursuant to California

LA PIPER US LLP San Diego reason of the foregoing, Plaintiff is barred in whole or in part from recovering monetary damages from Defendant.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To All Causes of Action)

Plaintiff's causes of action are barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statute of limitations, including, but not limited to, California Code of Civil Procedure sections 335.1 and 338 and California Government Code section 12960.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To All Causes of Action)

The Complaint, and each cause of action, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To All Causes of Action)

By reason of Plaintiff's conduct, she is barred under the doctrine of unclean hands from all forms of relief sought in her Complaint.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To the First and Second Causes of Action)

Plaintiff has failed to exhaust the administrative remedies as required by the California Fair Employment and Housing Act, and California Government Code section 12900 et seq., and the Americans with Disabilities Act and, therefore, is barred from maintaining her First and Second Causes of Action against Defendant.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To All Causes of Action)

Plaintiff has engaged in conduct and activities with respect to the subject matter of this dispute by reason of which Plaintiff is estopped to assert any claims or demands against Defendant.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To All Causes of Action)

Plaintiff has failed to state facts sufficient to support an award of punitive damages.

WEST\21489057.1 41043501-001050

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

(To All Causes of Action)

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Although Defendant denies it has committed or has responsibility for any act that could support the recovery of punitive damages in this action, if, and to the extent that any such act is found, recovery of punitive damages against Defendant is unconstitutional under various provisions of the United States Constitution, including, but not limited to, the due process clause of the Fifth Amendment, and section 1 of the Fourteenth Amendment. In addition, the recovery of punitive damages against Defendant is unconstitutional under various provisions of the California Constitution, including, but not limited to, the excessive fines clause of section 17 of Article I and the due process clause of section 1 of Article I.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To All Causes of Action)

Plaintiff's Complaint, and each and every cause of action contained within, fails due to the doctrine of laches.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To All Causes of Action)

Plaintiff's Complaint, and each cause of action therein, is barred, in whole or in part, by the exclusive remedy of the California Workers' Compensation Act. Cal. Lab. Code § 3600 et sea.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To all Causes of Action)

Plaintiff's Complaint and each cause of action pled therein are barred to the extent that Defendant has discovered facts, or may later discover facts, which, if known to Defendant prior to Plaintiff's termination, would have created additional grounds or bases for a decision to discharge Plaintiff. As a matter of law, the after-acquired evidence doctrine bars Plaintiff's recovery for such claims and/or cuts off damages for such claims.

/////

/////

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To All Causes of Action)

Plaintiff's Complaint and each cause of action pled therein are barred because any alleged actions or decisions by Defendant taken with respect to Plaintiff's employment were legitimate and non-discriminatory. In other words, any actions or decisions of Defendant taken with respect to Plaintiff were made regardless of Plaintiff's alleged protected status under the FEHA and ADA, or any other statute. Any alleged actions taken against Plaintiff would have been taken notwithstanding Plaintiff's alleged protected status or alleged protected action.

THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To All Causes of Action)

Plaintiff's Complaint, and each cause of action contained within, are barred to the extent that Plaintiff seeks punitive damages because, at all relevant times, Defendant had a written policy that specifically forbids discriminatory practices and these policies were implemented in good faith. Plaintiff was aware of these policies, but failed to take advantage of them, even though Plaintiff knew such policies were intended to prevent and/or remedy any unlawful discrimination. Plaintiff failed to exhaust the internal remedies available to her to address and resolve her pending claims.

FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To All Causes of Action)

Plaintiff's Complaint and each purported cause of action alleged therein are barred on the grounds that they are preempted by the Railway Labor Act (the "RLA"), 45 U.S.C. § 151, et seq.

FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To the Second Cause of Action)

Upon information and belief, Plaintiff failed to notify and/or request a reasonable accommodation from Defendant and therefore is barred from maintaining her Second Cause of Action.

27 /////

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28 /////

SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To the Second Cause of Action)

Upon information and belief, Plaintiff failed to engage in the interactive process with Defendant, and therefore is barred from maintaining her Second Cause of Action.

SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To the Second Cause of Action)

Upon information and belief, to the extent Plaintiff is deemed to have requested a reasonable accommodation, Plaintiff's requested accommodation would have created an undue hardship to the operation of Defendant's business.

EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To the Second Cause of Action)

Upon information and belief, to the extent Plaintiff is deemed to have requested an accommodation to her alleged disability, Plaintiff's requested accommodation was unreasonable.

NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To the Second Cause of Action)

Upon information and belief, to the extent Plaintiff is deemed to have requested an accommodation to her alleged disability, Defendant made a good faith effort to consult with Plaintiff and to identify and make a reasonable accommodation, preventing Plaintiff's recovery of compensatory damages under the ADA.

TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(To the Third Cause of Action)

Plaintiff's Third Cause of Action for intentional infliction of emotional distress fails as Plaintiff has failed to plead this cause of action with the required specificity. Michaelian v. State Comp. Ins. Fund, 50 Cal. App. 4th 1093, 1113-14 (1996).

25 /////

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26 /////

27 /////

28 /////

WHEREFORE, this answering Defendant prays that:

- 1. Plaintiff be denied relief by way of her Complaint;
- 2. Plaintiff's Complaint be dismissed with prejudice;
- 3. Defendant be dismissed with its costs of suit and attorneys' fees, pursuant to all applicable statutes, including but not limited to California Government Code section 12965, 42 United States Code sections 12117(a), 12133, 2000e-5(k), and 29 United States Code section 794a(b); and
 - 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

Dated: August 28, 2008

DLA PIPER US LLP

Attorneys for Defendant

UNITED AIR LINES, INC. (erroneously sued as UNITED AIR LINES)

24

27

28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

PROOF OF SERVICE

FILED CIVIL BUSINESS OFFICE IT CENTRAL DIVISION

I am a resident of the state of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is DLA Piper US LLP, 401 B Street, Suite 1700, San Diego, California 92101-4297. On August 28, 2008, I served the within documents:

ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S UNVERIFIED COMPLAINT

- by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m.
- by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at San Diego, California addressed as set forth below.
- by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the person(s) at the address(es) set forth below.

Douglas E. Geyman, Esq. Law Office of Douglas E. Geyman 750 B Street, Suite 2635 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619-232-3533

Telephone: 619-232-3533 Facsimile: 619-232-3593

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California that the above is true and correct.

Executed on August 28, 2008, at San Diego, California.

Donna C. Bennett

24

2526

27

28

PROOF OF SERVICE

1 I am a resident of the state of California, over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to the within action. My business address is DLA Piper US LLP, 401 B 2 Street, Suite 1700, San Diego, California 92101-4297. On August 29, 2008, I served the within documents: 3 NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF CIVIL ACTION TO UNITED STATES 5 DISTRICT COURT BY DEFENDANT UNITED AIR LINES, INC. 6 by transmitting via facsimile the document(s) listed above to the fax 7 number(s) set forth below on this date before 5:00 p.m. 8 by placing the document(s) listed above in a sealed envelope with \boxtimes postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at San Diego, California addressed as set forth below. 9 by personally delivering the document(s) listed above to the 10 $\overline{}$ person(s) at the address(es) set forth below. 11 Douglas E. Geyman, Esq. 12 Law Office of Douglas E. Geyman 750 B Street, Suite 2635 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619-232-3533 13 14 Facsimile: 619-232-3593 15 I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with the 16 U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than 17 one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. 18 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of California 19 that the above is true and correct. 20 Executed on August 29, 2008, at San Diego, California. 21 22 23 24

25 26

27

28

WEST\21489265.1 41043501-001050

CASE NO.

DLA PIPER US LLP SAN DIEGO

© JS 44 (Rev. 12/07) Case 3:08-cv-01587-JLS-POVIL POWIER SHE Filed 08/29/2008 Page 32 of 33 The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) **DEFENDANTS PLAINTIFFS** WEIDA HAYNES UNITED AIR LINES, INC. County of Residence of First Listed Defendant AUG 29 AM 10: 49 (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Dicab (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES INSTANTIATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED. LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known) (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) John E. Fitzsimmons, Esq.; Amy E. Beckstead, Esq. Douglas E. Geyman, Esq. DLA Piper US LLP Law Office of Douglas E. Geyman '08 CV 1587 JU P B Street, Suite 1700 P Diego, CA 92101 750 B Street, Suite 2635 San Diego, CA 92101 Phone: 619-699-2700; Fax: 619-699-2701 Phone: 619-232-3533; Fax: 619-232-3593 II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff (For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF PTF DEF Pederal Question U.S. Government Citizen of This State ⊠ i ☐ 1 Incorporated or Principal Place (U.S. Government Not a Party) of Business In This State Plaintiff U.S. Government Diversity Citizen of Another State \square 2 Incorporated and Principal Place **3** 5 (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) Defendant of Business In Another State ☐ 6 Citizen or Subject of a 3 Foreign Nation Foreign Country IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) OTHER STATUTES CONTRACT FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY TORTS 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 400 State Reapportionment 610 Agriculture 410 Antitrust
430 Banks and Banking
450 Commerce
460 Deportation 423 Withdrawal 620 Other Food & Drug 120 Marine 310 Airplane 362 Personal Injury-130 Miller Act 315 Airplane Product 625 Drug Related Seizure 28 USC 157 Med. Malpractice of Property 21 USC 881 140 Negotiable Instrument 365 Personal Injury -Liability 150 Recovery of Overpayment 630 Liquor Laws 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability PROPERTY RIGHTS 640 R.R. & Truck 470 Racketeer Influenced and & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Slander 820 Copyrights Corrupt Organizations 151 Medicare Act 330 Federal Employers' 650 Airline Regs. Injury Product 830 Patent 480 Consumer Credit 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability 660 Occupational Liability 840 Trademark 490 Cable/Sat TV Student Loans Safety/Health 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPERTY 690 Other (Excl. Veterans) 345 Marine Product 810 Selective Service 370 Other Fraud ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment Liability 850 Securities/Commodities/ 371 Truth in Lending **LABOR** SOCIAL SECURITY of Veteran's Benefits Exchange 350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Personal 160 Stockholders' Suits ■875 Customer Challenge 355 Motor Vehicle 710 Fair Labor Standards 861 HIA (1395ff) Property Damage 190 Other Contract 12 USC 3410 Product Liability 862 Black Lung (923) Act ☐ 385 Property Damage 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 195 Contract Product Liability 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 360 Other Personal Injury 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) Product Liability 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting 196 Franchise 864 SSID Title XVI ■892 Economic Stabilization Act **REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS** PRISONER PETITIONS & Disclosure Act 865 RSI (405(g)) 740 Railway Labor Act 893 Environmental Matters 210 Land Condemnation 441 Voting 510 Motions to Vacate 790 Other Labor Litigation 894 Energy Allocation Act 220 Foreclosure 442 Employment Sentence 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. 895 Freedom of Information FEDERAL TAX SUITS 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus: Act Security Act 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff 240 Torts to Land Accommodations 530 General 900Appeal of Fee Determination or Defendant) 245 Tort Product Liability 444 Welfare 535 Death Penalty Under Equal Access IRS-Third Party 290 All Other Real Property 445 Amer. w/Disabilities 540 Mandamus & Other **IMMIGRATION** to Justice 26 USC 7609 Employment 550 Civil Rights 950 Constitutionality of 462 Naturalization Application 446 Amer. w/Disabilities 555 Prison Condition 463 Habeas Cornus -State Statutes Other Alien Detainee ☐ 440 Other Civil Rights 465 Other Immigration Actions V. ORIGIN Transferred from Appeal to District (Place an "X" in One Box Only) ■ 2 Removed from 5 another district ☐ 1 Original Remanded from 4 Reinstated or ☐ 6 Multidistrict ☐ 7 Judge from Magistrate State Court Proceeding Appellate Court Reopened (specify) Litigation Judgment Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 28 U.S.C Sections 1332, 1441 & 1446 and 42 U.S.C Section 12101 VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: Disability discrimination under the ADA VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION **DEMAND \$** CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: COMPLAINT: Yes \square No UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 **JURY DEMAND:** VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): IF ANY DOCKET NUMBER DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD August 29, 2008 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

CP

-TAC

8/29/08

4360 APPLYING IFP

JUDGE

MAG. JUDGE

American LegalNet, Inc. www.FormsWorkflow.com

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

154543 - TC

August 29, 2008 10:52:39

Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO #.: 08CV1587

Judge..: JANIS L. SAMMARTINO

Amount.:

\$350.00 CK

Check#.: BC784592

Total-> \$350.00

FROM: WEIDA HAYNES

UNITED AIRLINES